



## Objective and Perceptual Evaluation of a Virtual Sound Environment System.

Cubick, Jens; Dau, Torsten

*Publication date:*  
2016

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

*Citation (APA):*  
Cubick, J., & Dau, T. (2016). *Objective and Perceptual Evaluation of a Virtual Sound Environment System..* Poster session presented at DAGA 2016 Aachen, Aachen, Germany.

---

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



## Introduction

**Hearing aid (HA)** users often have difficulties following a conversation in challenging listening situations involving multiple talkers, background noise, and reverberation. To improve their listening performance, the algorithms in modern HAs have become increasingly complex. Yet, most HA testing is still performed in unrealistically simple setups.

In this study [1], **speech reception thresholds (SRTs)** were measured in a real classroom and its virtual counterpart auralized in the 'Spacelab' (see Fig. 1) to investigate, how well results obtained in a complex **Virtual Sound Environment (VSE)** translate to the corresponding real situation.



Fig. 1: Photograph of the 'Spacelab' at DTU with a spherical array of loudspeakers for the playback of VSEs.

## Method

### Auralization technique

- Simulation of the classroom (Room 019,  $V = 180\text{m}^2$ ,  $T_{30} = 0.5\text{s}$ ) in ODEON
- Calibration of the room model to the measured reverberation time  $T_{30}$  and clarity  $C_{50}$ , (ISO 3382), see Fig. 4.
- Auralization of the results with a spherical 29 loudspeaker array in the 'Spacelab' using the LoRA toolbox [2], see Fig. 2.
- Rendering method: **Higher Order Ambisonics (HOA)** or **Nearest Loudspeaker (NLS)**, where each reflection is mapped to a single loudspeaker.




Fig. 2: Overview over the auralization system. The acoustic scenario is simulated in the ODEON room acoustic software, the LoRA toolbox generates a multichannel room impulse response (mRIR) from the ODEON output using either **Higher Order Ambisonics (HOA)** or **Nearest Loudspeaker (NLS)**. Convolution of the mRIR with an anechoic signal yields the driving signal for the loudspeakers.

### Listening experiments

- Dantale II test** [3] with **8 normal hearing**, native Danish speaking listeners.
- Receiver-in-the-ear HAs with power domes providing **flat, linear gain of 15 dB**.
- Omnidirectional (Omni)** microphone and **static beamformer (BF)**.




Fig. 3: Top view of the listening test setup with the listening position (1), the three noise sources (P7, P10, P13) and the target speech sources at 2 m (P2) and 5 m (P22).

## Results – Physical evaluation

### Room acoustic parameters (ISO 3382)




Fig. 4: Average Reverberation time  $T_{30}$  and Clarity  $C_{50}$  measured for 30 source positions in the real Room 019 (squares), the VSE with NLS (crosses) and HOA (circles) rendering.




Fig. 5: Interaural cross correlation coefficients measured in the real Room 019 (squares), the VSE with NLS (crosses) and HOA (circles) rendering.

### HA directivity




Fig. 6: Transfer functions of the hearing aid measured on the right ear of a B&K HATS for all azimuth angles in steps of 10 degrees in the omnidirectional program (left column) and the BF program (right column). The rows show the results measured in an anechoic chamber (top), Room 019 (middle), and the VSE with HOA coding (bottom).

## Results – Listening experiments




Fig. 7: Average Speech reception thresholds measured in Room 019, the VSE with NLS coding and the VSE with HOA coding (upper panel) and benefit from the BF program compared to the omnidirectional microphone (lower panel). The error bars indicate  $\pm$  one standard deviation.

## Discussion – Listening experiments

- SRTs higher in the VSE than in Room 019, probably due to higher diffuseness compared to Room 019.
- However, the SRT benefit from BF over omnidirectional microphone is similar in the VSE and Room 019.
- Higher SRTs with HOA compared to NLS coding, consistent with [4].
- Standard deviations are smaller in the VSE than in Room 019, consistent with the subjective description of the listeners.
- With NLS, SRT differences between 2m and 5m are almost identical to Room 019. Generally: NLS results are closer to reality.
- NLS preserves the dependence of the SRT on the target source distance.

## Conclusion

The tested VSE seems to capture many acoustical features of a real environment (an existing room) that might be crucial for speech intelligibility, even though the resulting sound field in the VSE seems to be slightly more diffuse. The SRTs measured in the VSE were higher than those in Room 019. However, the differential outcome measure, BF benefit, was the same in the simulated and real environment.

VSEs could provide a powerful tool for testing HA algorithms, since very different acoustic conditions can be tested flexibly in a controlled and repeatable way. Array microphone recordings and screen projection could provide additional flexibility, for example, in the case of dynamic acoustic scenes.

## References

- [1] J. Cubick, T. Dau: Validation of a Virtual Sound Environment System for Testing Hearing Aids. Acta Acustica united with Acustica, under review (2016)
- [2] S. Favrot, J. Buchholz: LoRA: A loudspeaker-based room auralization system. Acta Acustica united with Acustica **96** (2010) 364–375.
- [3] K. Wagener, J. Josvassen, R. Ardenkjær: Design, optimization and evaluation of a Danish sentence test in noise. International Journal of Audiology **42** (2003), 10–17.
- [4] S. Favrot, J. M. Buchholz: Validation of a loudspeaker-based room auralization system using speech intelligibility measures. Audio Engineering Society Convention 126, 2009

## Discussion – Physical Evaluation

- LoRA processing transparent with respect to  $T_{30}$  and  $C_{50}$ .
- IACC lower in VSE than in Room 019, i.e., more diffuse sound field in the VSE.
- Reduced dynamic range of the directivity pattern over azimuth angle in VSE compared to Room 019, indicating a more diffuse sound field in the VSE.
- Effectiveness of the beamformer reduced in the VSE due to the higher diffuseness.